# A BELLSOUTH

**BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.** 

**Legal Department** 

1600 Williams Street Suite 5200

Columbia, SC 29201

Patrick W. Turner

General Counsel-South Carolina

803 401 2900 Fax 803 254 1731

patrick.turner@bellsouth.com

June 1, 2007

The Honorable Charles Terreni Chief Clerk of the Commission Public Service Commission of South Carolina Post Office Drawer 11649

Columbia, South Carolina 29211

Re: Docket No. 2006-37-C: Petition of the Office of Regulatory Staff for a Rule Making Proceeding to Examine the Requirements and Standards to Be Used by the Commission When Evaluating Applications for Eligible Telecommunications Carrier ("ETC") Status and When Making Annual Certification of ETC Compliance to the Federal Communications Commission

Dear Mr. Terreni:

Enclosed for filing are the original and (1) copy of BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.'s d/b/a AT&T South Carolina ("AT&T") Comments on Proposed Regulation Regarding Designation of Eligible Telecommunications Carriers in the above-referenced matter.

By copy of this letter, I am serving all parties of record with a copy of this brief as indicated on the attached Certificate of Service.

JUN 0 4 2007

Sincerely,

Patrick W. Turner

PWT/nml PSC SC Enclosure PSC SC Enclosure

cc: All Parties of Record

DM #679886

THIS DOCUMENT IS AN EXACT DUPLICATE OF THE E-FILED COPY SUBMITTED TO THE COMMISSON IN ACCORDANCE WITH ITS ELECTRONIC FILING INSTRUCTIONS.

# BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF SOUTH CAROLINA

In the Matter of	)			
	)			
Petition of the Office of Regulatory Staff	)	<u>ي</u>	<b>~</b> 3	
For a Rule-Making Proceeding to	)	Š.	Ì	***
Examine the Requirements and Standards	)	용근	Ç	
To be Used by the Commission When	)	Docket No. 2006-37-C	en engañ en engañ en en an	
Evaluating Applications for Eligible	)	종	<u>.</u>	
Telecommunications Carrier (ETC)	)		••••	And a second
Status and When Making Annual	)	95		TH
Certification of ETC Compliance to the	)		1.0	
Federal Communications Commission	)	ŕń	5	

### AT&T SOUTH CAROLINA'S COMMENTS ON PROPOSED REGULATION REGARDING DESIGNATION OF ELIGIBLE TELECOMMUNICATIONS CARRIERS

AT&T South Carolina ("AT&T") respectfully submits its Comments on the proposed regulation regarding Designation of Eligible Telecommunications Carriers that the Public Service Commission of South Carolina ("Commission") issued on March 26, 2007.

#### I. PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND

On January 9, 2006, the Office of Regulatory Staff ("ORS") filed a Petition to request that the Commission initiate a rulemaking to develop a set of eligibility standards for Eligible Telecommunication Carrier ("ETC") designation and to determine whether the Commission should allow multiple ETCs. On January 31, 2006, the Commission entered an Order granting

See Motion to Hold Petition in Abeyance, In Re: Petition of Budget Phone, Inc. for Designation as an Eligible Telecommunications Carrier, Docket No. 2005-219-C (January 9, 2006).

the ORS's Petition.<sup>2</sup> After receiving initial comments from interested parties, the Commission issued a Directive on February 7, 2007 that instructed the Commission's Staff to publish proposed ETC Guidelines on the Commission's Docket Management System and to allow interested persons until February 21, 2007 to comment in writing on the proposed Guidelines. AT&T filed Comments at that time, as did a number of other parties.

On March 26, 2007, the Commission issued its proposed regulation regarding the Designation of Eligible Telecommunications Carriers and provided that parties may file Comments on the proposed rules no later than June 1, 2007. The Commission also scheduled a public hearing on the proposed rules to take place on June 26, 2007.

#### II. AT&T'S COMMENTS

The proposed regulation modifies the earlier guidelines in a significant respect: it includes a provision that wireless carriers shall use cell sites for planning purposes rather than wire centers. AT&T believes that using wire centers to develop the required build out/improvement plan is the better alternative for all carriers, both wireline and wireless.

Section C.(a)(1)(B) of the proposed guidelines included the requirement that a carrier seeking ETC status submit a two-year plan that describes with specificity "proposed improvements or upgrades to the applicant's network on a wire center-by-wire center basis throughout its proposed designated service area." The proposed regulation, however, provides that wireless carriers shall submit the required two-year plan on a cell site-by-cell site basis. AT&T suggests that the better alternative is to return to the approach of the original guidelines

Order Establishing Rulemaking and Holding Budget Phone Petition in Abeyance, *In Re:* Petition of Budget Phone, Inc. for Designation as an Eligible Telecommunications Carrier, Order No. 2006-71 in Docket No. 2005-219-C (January 13, 2006).

Proposed Guidelines For Designating Eligible Telecommunications Carriers and Requirements for Existing Eligible Telecommunications Carriers, C.(a)(1)(B).

and require that all carriers, both wireline and wireless, submit plans on a wire center-by-wire center basis. The submission of plans by wire center would conform to the guidelines of the Federal Communications Commission ("FCC")<sup>4</sup>, and it would be far more workable than the use of cell sites.

Section 214(e)(1) of the Telecommunications Act requires that ETCs provide service "throughout the service area for which the designation is received." In keeping with this statutory requirement, the FCC has included in its guidelines a requirement that a carrier seeking ETC status provide a plan for building out its service area. The FCC has also utilized analysis at the wire center level to ensure that each carrier's plan will result in build out and/or upgrades throughout its service area. In this regard, the FCC stated in the *Universal Service Order* the following:

To demonstrate that supported improvements in service will be made throughout the service area, applicants should provide . . . information for each *wire center* in each service area for which they expect to receive universal service support, or an explanation of why service improvements in a particular *wire center* are not needed and how funding will otherwise be used to further the provision of supported services in that area.<sup>6</sup>

Wire centers are established geographic delineations that provide clear lines on a map that can be used to scrutinize a carrier's use of high cost support. By looking at each wire center within the carrier's study area, it is relatively simple to discern how much of the total service area has been built out and/or improved. In contrast, cell sites do not correlate with the existing telecommunications infrastructure, i.e., with the specific wire centers that, taken together, compose the service areas of traditional wirelines carriers. For this reason, a plan by a wireless carrier that describes build out or improvements for each cell site would provide much less

See Report and Order, *In the Matter of Federal-State Joint Board on Universal Service*, CC Docket No. 96-45, 20 FCC Rcd 6371 (March 17, 2005) ("Universal Service Order"), Par. 69. 47 U.S.C. 214(e)(1).

<sup>6</sup> Universal Service Order, ¶ 23. (Italics added)

useful information than a plan that maps service provision by wireless carriers to existing wire centers.<sup>7</sup> Further, the delinking of the wireless carrier's build out (or quality improvement) plan from wire centers would make it very difficult to compare the wireless carrier's provision of service to the geographic locations upon which high cost support is based.

Finally, the proposed regulation continues to provide (in Section C(b)) that this Commission will perform an analysis of potential creamskimming by "comparing the population density of each *wire center* in which the eligible telecommunications carrier applicant seeks designation against that of the *wire centers* in the study area in which the eligible telecommunications carrier applicant does not seek designation." (Italics added). If wireless carriers submit plans that utilize cell sites rather than wire centers as the basic planning unit then, for these carriers, the Commission will clearly lack the information that it needs to conduct the above-described creamskimming analysis.

Typically, an ETC applicant designates its service area by identifying the wire centers it plans to serve. Given this, a wireless carrier would necessarily have to map its cell sites to wire centers in order to complete an application. Thus, it should be relatively straightforward for a wireless carrier to map its build out or improvement plans to wire centers as well.

#### III. CONCLUSION

AT&T respectfully requests that the Commission modify the proposed ETC regulations to provide that all carriers shall submit a two-year plan on a wire center-by-wire center basis.

Respectfully submitted,

PATRICK W. TURNER
AT&T-South Carolina
Suite 5200
1600 Williams Street
Columbia, South Carolina 29201
(803) 401-2900

J. PHILLIP CARVER Suite 4300 675 West Peachtree Street Atlanta, Georgia 30375 (404) 335-0710

679522

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA	)	
	)	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
COUNTY OF RICHLAND	)	

The undersigned, Jeanette B. Mattison, hereby certifies that she is employed by the Legal Department for AT&T South Carolina ("AT&T") and that she has caused AT&T South Carolina's Comments on Proposed Regulation Regarding Designation of Eligible Telecommunications Carriers in Docket No. 2006-37-C to be served upon the following this June 1, 2007:

Steven W. Hamm, Esquire
C. Jo Anne Wessinger Hill, Esquire
Richardson, Plowden Carpenter & Robinson, P.A.
1900 Barnwell Street
Columbia, SC 29202
(Verizon South Inc.)
(U. S. Mail and Electronic Mail)

Delaney L. O'Roark, Esquire General Counsel Verizon South Inc. 6 Concourse PKWY Atlanta, GA 30328 (Verizon South Inc.) (U. S. Mail and Electronic Mail)

Nanette S. Edwards, Esquire Office of Regulatory Staff Post Office Box 11263 Columbia, South Carolina 29211 (U. S. Mail and Electronic Mail)

C. Lessie Hammonds
Office of Regulatory Staff
Post Office Box 11263
Columbia, South Carolina 29211
(U. S. Mail and Electronic Mail)

F. David Butler, Esquire Senior Counsel S. C. Public Service Commission Post Office Box 11649 Columbia, South Carolina 29211 (PSC Staff) (U. S. Mail and Electronic Mail)

Jocelyn G. Boyd, Esquire Staff Attorney S. C. Public Service Commission Post Office Box 11649 Columbia, South Carolina 29211 (PSC Staff)

#### (U. S. Mail and Electronic Mail)

Joseph Melchers Chief Counsel S.C. Public Service Commission Post Office Box 11649 Columbia, South Carolina 29211 (PSC Staff) (U.S. Mail and Electronic Mail)

William E. DuRant, Jr., Esquire Schwartz, McLeod, DuRant & Jordan 10 Law Range

Sumter, SC 29150

(Farmers Telephone Cooperative, Inc.)

## (U.S. Mail and Electronic Mail)

David A. LaFuria, Esquire Lukas, Nace, Gutierrez & Sachs, Chartered 1650 Tysons Boulevard Suite 1500 McLean, VA 22102 (Hargray Wireless, LLC) (U.S. Mail)

William W. Jones, Jr.
Jones Scheider & Patterson, P. A.
18 Pope Avenue
P. O. Drawer 7049
Hilton Head, SC 29938
(Hargray Wireless, LLC)
(U.S. Mail)

Scott Elliott, Esquire
Elliott & Elliott, P. A.
721 Olive Street
Columbia, SC 29205
(United Telephone Company of the
Carolinas and Embarq Comm., Inc.)
(U.S. Mail and Electronic Mail)

Edward Phillips, Attorney Jack H. Derrick, Senior Attorney 14111 Capital Boulevard Wake Forest, NC 27587-5900 (United Telephone Company of the Carolinas and Embarq Comm., Inc.) (U.S. Mail and Electronic Mail)

Gene V. Coker, Esquire 1230 Peachtree Street, NE Fourth Floor Atlanta, Georgia 30309 (AT&T) (U.S. Mail and Electronic Mail)

Burnet R. Maybank, III, Esquire Nexsen Pruet 1441 Main Street Suite 1500 Columbia, SC 29201 (Alltel) (U.S. Mail and Electronic Mail)

M. John Bowen, Jr., Esquire McNair Law Firm, P.A. Bank of America Tower 1301 Gervais Street, 17<sup>th</sup> Floor Columbia, SC 29201 (SCTC) (U.S. Mail and Electronic Mail) J. Jeffrey Pascoe Womble Carlyle Sandridge & Rice 550 South Main Street, Suite 400 Greenville, South Carolina 29601 (Sprint Nextel) (U.S. Mail and Electronic Mail)

Jeanette B. Mattison

PC Docs # 643726